



Date: August 1, 2002
File No.: 2683-74619

SB
8/14/02
12/C
N/E
cu
8/1/02

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant(s): Satyan G. Pitroda

Group No.: 2164

Serial No.: 09/372,365

Batch No.

Filed: August 11, 1999

Examiner: N. Nguyen

COPY OF PAPERS
ORIGINALLY FILED

For: SYSTEM AND METHODS FOR
SERVICING ELECTRONIC
TRANSACTIONS

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this paper is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Box AF, Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231, on this date:

8/1/2002

Date

Registration No.: 39,724

Attorney for Applicant(s)

Reply Pursuant To 37 C.F.R. §1.116

Box AF
Commissioner For Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

RECEIVED
AUG 13 2002
GROUP 3600

This is in Reply to the Office Action Communication mailed May 1, 2002 in the above referenced application. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration or further examination.

In the Claims:

Cancel Claims 34, 35, and 37-42.

REMARKS

Claims 1-11 and 34, 35, and 37-42 stand rejected. Claims 34, 35, and 37-42 are cancelled by amendment. Accordingly, claims 1-11 are at issue. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration or further examination.

Claims 1-11 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Landry, U.S. Patent No. 5,956,700, in view of Lamm, U.S. Patent No. 6,078,907. Combining Landry and Lamm does not result in the invention recited in claim 1. Claim 1 requires a processor